

#### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/928,737 08/13/2001		08/13/2001	Son Ky Quan	SC09785T CD1	7252
23125	7590	02/27/2002			
MOTORO		FILLE DD ODDD TY	EXAMINER		
LAW SECT		TUAL PROPERTY	NGO, HUNG V		
7700 WEST	<b>PARMEI</b>	R LANE MD: TX32			
AUSTIN, TX 78729				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2831	1
				DATE MAILED: 02/27/2002	• 1
					(

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.
•	09/928,737
Office Action Summary	Examiner
E-0-1110	Lyammer

Applicant(s)

Quan et al

00,020,707			
xaminer	Art Unit 2831		
Hung V. Ngo	2631		
		l	

-	- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	n the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
THE MA - Extensi after - If the p be c - If NO p com - Failure	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Sons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, considered timely.  Seriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply is specified above.	1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed				
Status 1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 16, 20	001				
2a) 🗆 🗆	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action	on is non-final.				
3) 🗆 3	— and the formal metters procedution as to the merits is					
	on of Claims	in the application				
		is/are pending in the application.				
		is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
	Claim(s)					
6) X	Claim(s) <u>17-22</u>	is/are rejected.				
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers	•				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
13)□ a)□	under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign processes of the priority documents have a complex of the certified copies of the priority documents have a complex of the priority	e been received. e been received in Application No ocuments have been received in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
Attachm	ent(s)					
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
-	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
17) 🔀 ln	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	20) Uther:				

Application/Control Number: 09/928737

Art Unit: 2831

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restriction

Applicant's election with traverse of group II, claims 17-22 in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that only dependent claims 20-22 of group II include singulating a plurality of a package site. This is not found persuasive because the product can be made by another materially different process. Therefore the invention of group II is distinct from group I.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tuttle et al (US 5,612,513).

Tuttle et al disclose a packaged semiconductor device comprising: providing an interconnect substrate (44) having a plurality of substantially identical package sites arranged in an array, the plurality of sites being separated by a singulation space (Fig 3); mounting and interconnecting a semiconductor device (die)(abstract) within each site; and overmolding a single

Application/Control Number: 09/928737

Art Unit: 2831

and continuous encapsulant (60) over each semiconductor device, the plurality of sites, and the singulation space (Fig 4)(re claim 17).

Re claim 18, wherein overmolding produces a top surface of the encapsulant which has a surface deviation of less than 0.13 millimeters across a length of the encapsulant (Fig 4).

Re claim 19, wherein the plurality of package sites are arranged in an array of at least four by four package sites (Fig 4).

Re claim 20, further comprising the step of singulating the plurality of package sites after overmolding (col 6, lines 45-50).

Re claim 21, wherein singulating comprises sawing through the single and continuous encapsulant and the interconnect substrate along the singulation space (col 6, lines 45-50).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tuttle et al.

The teaching of Tuttle et al as discussed above does not disclose the step of handling each

packaged semiconductor device with automated pick and place equipment.

\*Application/Control Number: 09/928737 Page 4

Art Unit: 2831

It is well known in the electrical art to use automated equipment for handling semiconductor device.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made use automated equipment for handling the semiconductor device.

### Communication

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung V. Ngo whose telephone number is (703) 308-7614. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday from 8:30 am to 06:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard, can be reached on (703) 308-3682.

The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3431 or (703) 305-3432.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Hung V. Ngo

February 24, 2002

Hus UNG

HUNG V. NGO PATENT EXAMINER